

Introducing Radar System Structure to Dropped Channel Polarimetric SAR

Julie Ann Jackson

Air Force Institute of Technology Dayton, Ohio USA

julie.jackson@afit.edu



Forest Lee-Elkin

Felkintech, LLC Dayton, Ohio USA

felkintech@gmail.com

The views expressed in this article are those of the authors and do not reflect the official policy or position of the U.S. Air Force, Department of Defense, or the U.S. Government. Approved for public release 88ABW-2019-1432

Overview

- PolSAR bistatic system model and CS framework
- Measurement and Dictionary Matrices
 - Antenna Coupling
 - Channel Selection
 - Polarimetric canonical scatterer representation
- Results Recovery of Four Polarimetric Channels
 - Active and Passive antenna Coupling
 - One or Two Dropped Channels

What is Dropped-Channel PolSAR?

- Jackson and Lee-Elkin first introduced Dropped-Channel PolSAR at IEEE Radar Conf 2017
- Uses Channel Coupling and CS framework to compress along polarization dimension



Parking Lot Example

 Single and Double-bounce scattering off vehicles is recovered with Basis Pursuit Denoising with the HH channel omitted.



PoISAR System Model



- Channel coupling (crosstalk) occurs between transmit antennas and between receive antennas
- Coupling provides linear mixing required for CS framework
- Transmitted electric field polarization may be scaled and/or rotated by reflectors in the scene
 - Both H and V receive antennas may collect scaled versions of transmitted H or V pol signal
 - -16 possible paths through Tx-reflector-Rx path

PolSAR System Model



 $C_R = \begin{vmatrix} r_{\rm HH} & r_{\rm HV} \\ r_{\rm HH} & r_{\rm HV} \end{vmatrix}$

- Channel coupling on transmit and receive modeled by
- Overall system coupling: $\boldsymbol{C} = \boldsymbol{C}_T \otimes \boldsymbol{C}_R^T$
- Channel coupling can be estimated via radar calibration
- Traditional processing: remove coupling by applying C⁻¹ to received channel data and process all channels
- Proposed processing: reduce # channels and do sparse recovery to recover channel(s) and remove coupling

Mathematical PolSAR System Model

• Recover sparse coefficients **b** from reduced channel measurements $\widetilde{\mathbf{y}}$



Radar and Recovery Design Considerations

- Measurement Matrix:
 - Point spread function determined by radar flight path, aperture extent, bandwidth, and operating frequency
 - Coupling matrix structure dictated by physics

$$\boldsymbol{C} = \boldsymbol{C}_T \otimes \boldsymbol{C}_R^T$$

- Reciprocity requires symmetric \mathbf{C}_{T} , \mathbf{C}_{R}
- -Active components may break reciprocity
- Channel selection J should be designed in conjunction with C
 - J may drop channels
 - J may further combine channels (e.g. monostatic radar)

 $\boldsymbol{C}_{T} = \begin{bmatrix} t_{\mathrm{HH}} & t_{\mathrm{HV}} \\ t_{\mathrm{VH}} & t_{\mathrm{VV}} \end{bmatrix}$ $\boldsymbol{C}_{R} = \begin{bmatrix} r_{\mathrm{HH}} & r_{\mathrm{HV}} \\ r_{\mathrm{VH}} & r_{\mathrm{VV}} \end{bmatrix}$

Vary Coupling Matrix C; Drop HH Channel



Sparse image recovered with proper polarization response due to channel recovery

Active Coupling Matrix C; $C = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$ **Drop Various Channels**

 $1^{-1.64}$

 $0.6^{-0.45}$ 1

 $\otimes 0.86$

 $0.6^{2.79}$



•Sparse image recovery works if drop co-pol or cross-pol channel

Active Coupling Matrix C; Drop Two Channels



•Sparse image recovery with 2 of 4 channels dropped will improve as optimize $\widetilde{A}(\mathbf{J},\mathbf{C})$

Active Coupling Matrix C; Monostatic Average Two Channels



•Sparse image recovery with channel-averaging/dropping will improve as optimize $\tilde{A}(J,C)$

Conclusion

- Sparse recovery of all channels possible for various cases
 - Drop co-pol or cross-pol
 - Passive or active coupling in Tx and Rx
- Results will improve as optimize measurement matrix \tilde{A}
 - Coupling design
 - Channel selection
 - Flight path (point spread)
- Future work will also consider efficient recovery based on structure of \widetilde{A}

Back-up Slides

Recovery vs. Signal-to-Clutter Ratio



Fig. 2. Reference, Observed, and Recovered images of point targets when the HH channel is not observed and a Pauli basis is used for recovery.



Fig. 3. Reference, Observed, and Recovered images of point targets when the HH channel is not observed and a Cardinal basis P = I is used for recovery.



Fig. 6. Relative Error versus SCR for K/(QN') = 0.05. HH channel dropped.

Relative Error vs. Sparsity



Fig. 4. Reference, Observed, and Recovered images of point targets when neither the HH nor VV channels are observed and a Pauli basis is used for recovery.



Fig. 5. Relative Error versus sparsity: $SCR = \infty$